# EXHIBIT 45

March 12, 2008

Nashville, TN

Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS ----X IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL ) MDL NO. 1456 INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE ) CIVIL ACTION PRICE LITIGATION ) 01-CV-12257-PBS THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ) U.S. ex rel. Ven-a-Care of ) of the Florida Keys, Inc. ) ) No.06-CV-11337-PBS ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC., ) ----X (cross captions appear on following pages) Deposition of HARRY LEO SULLIVAN Volume I Nashville, Tennessee Tuesday, March 12, 2008 9:05 a.m.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

March 12, 2008

Page 60

### Nashville, TN

7

8

9

Page 58

Q. The data that would tell me essentially what was paid and how it was determined what would be paid.

you're talking specifically about a MAC process?

A. Nothing in the computer will tell you how the price was determined. But there should be records of -- because that was all manually input at that time. And I, I'm the one that did it.

10 I would literally go -- I could log into the mainframe and change prices. It would 11 12 put a date on there. It would even print an 13 audit trail, of any changes, because, you know, I 14 had a user name and anything I did in that 15 computer there was an audit trail printed out to 16 -- and I guess, I would assume, some taped copy 17 as well.

18 And you needed that because, and you 19 needed historical information in the claims 20 processing system because Medicaid claims 21 typically can be submitted all the way back 365 days a year.

Page 59

18

19

20

21

But you may have cases like SSI determination that may take two or three years 3 for the patient to be determined SSI eligible, automatically Medicaid eligible, and they can submit back from the beginning of that determination period all their pharmacy claims.

So you have to be able to process those claims and pay the price that was appropriate at that time of dispensing.

There should be records of that.

- Q. We'll talk about the MAC program a little bit later, but if you wanted to change a price for a particular drug, you had the ability to go into the computer and change the price?
- 15 A. Yes. I did. I don't -- I wouldn't say 16 that was true in every state.
- 17 Q. Okay. What authorities, if any, would you have to go through in order to change a price 18 19 for a particular drug?
- 20 A. That was my responsibility. I, I, I 21 would do it as part of my job, as part of making sure that -- two things occurred, particularly

with multi-source drugs. One, is that we didn't

- 2 pay too much. And, two, is that we paid enough
- to insure an incentive for pharmacists to take
- 4 the extra step to, if necessary, call a physician
- 5 and get the prescription changed to a multi-6 source drug.
  - Q. And when you talk about an incentive you're talking about a financial incentive?
  - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And what kind of financial incentive would you provide? 11
- A. What, what I tried to make sure I did 12 during this time, this -- I would say from '89 to 13 14 '94, was, was make sure that there, there was 15 profit to be made for a pharmacist for dispensing generic drugs. It -- these, these folks are 16 17 pretty savvy.

If I'm paying based on what I have submitted to HFCA at the time or CMS today on a state plan that says I will pay AWP minus 10 plus \$4 or 3.91 or \$4, whatever, for a brand name, and I'm setting MAC prices on the corresponding

Page 61

generic that pay the pharmacist his or her net 2 cost, it's not going to take them very long to 3 figure out which drug to process.

4 When they can buy the drug at, you 5 know, AWP minus 18, 20, 22, versus selling it at 6 cost plus a dispensing fee, they're going, they're going to figure that out. And I'm

7 8 shooting myself in the foot from a budget

9 standpoint, from a, trying to be a responsible

10 manager for the state's taxpayers. 11

So you, you want to -- you want there to be some measure of profit, some incentive over 12 and above a dispensing fee, to incentivize 13 pharmacists to use the generic. 14

15 Q. We'll talk about some other 16 communications that you have had with some other 17 state Medicaid programs, but was that issue

18 creating a financial incentive to promote the use

19 of multiple-source drugs something that you

20 discussed with other state pharmacy

21 administrators?

A. Maybe at national meetings where there 22

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

March 12, 2008

Page 64

### Nashville, TN

Page 62

might be some, some discussion on, you know, brand versus generic, or to use a MAC or not to

3 use a MAC.

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

6

7

You also have issues in different states of do they allow dispenses written? Do they have a two-line prescription form? Or what are their particular guidelines for physicians and pharmacists when it comes to being able to substitute a generic? So, but I don't remember saying, you know, I'm paying 2 cents apiece for generic penicillin, what do you pay? What -- I don't, I don't think anybody ever did that.

Q. From your experience, do you think it was well accepted amongst the Medicaid pharmacy administrative community that you would want to pay some profit on multiple-source drugs to incentivize their use?

MS. DAMOULAKIS: Objection.

19 A. It's just so fundamental, I don't remember discussing that with anybody. I think 20 it's just -- it's something you -- you know, I

mean it's just -- makes good sense. I don't, I

Page 63

don't remember any specific discussions with 2 anybody on, you really need to make it profitable so that they will have an incentive to use it. 3 4 BY MR. TORBORG: 5

Q. In your view it's just one of those fundamental tenets of how you operate a state Medicaid pharmacy program.

8 A. One of my bosses long ago told me that 9 the color of health care is green, and that's 10 true.

11 Q. In your time as the director of 12 pharmacy services, did you have communications 13 with the federal government concerning drug 14

15 A. I don't know in what context you would 16 -- I mean can you -- is there another way you can 17 ask that question?

18 Q. I'll try.

19 In determining how much the state 20 should be paying for drugs, both as an ingredient 21 cost component and as a dispensing cost 22 component, did you have discussions with the --

any representatives from CMS then known as HFCA.

2 A. I couldn't name any individual in HFCA

3 or CMS, and I don't remember -- and I couldn't

4 tell you the exact time. I would say in the, in

5 the early Nineties HFCA putting directives out to

6 the state, and it was, it was as if they're

7 suggesting that, you know, we're going to be

8 looking -- kind of giving you a heads-up, the way

9 they would do with, with policy. That, you know,

10 we're aware that a lot of states are, are paying

11 AWP minus 5 or whatever. And we really think

12 that y'all need to get to maybe 10 percent. I

don't know where -- you know, if OIG or somebody 13

gave them some number. They wanted everybody to 14

get to 10. Or some convoluted calculation of WAC 15

16 or acquisition costs or however you could get

17 there that would demonstrate to HFCA that you're

18 doing about AWP minus 10.

19 Tennessee was -- and this may -- there

20 may be various constraints on other states. For

21 example, some state may -- reimbursement may be

22 subject to legislation within the state. May

Page 65

have to be legislated. It may be up to the

2 Medicaid director or the pharmacy director, it

may be tied to a cost-to-dispense study from a 3

4 state university, college of pharmacy, or

5 something like that. So it -- I'm sure it varied

6 wide, widely from state to state on their

7 flexibility to comply with, with such a -- and it

8 wasn't a mandate at that time. But it was -- you

9 could clearly tell that HFCA was wanting

10 something done with reimbursement for pharmacy

11 services that, that I guess saved money or more

12 closely approximated what people were really

paying for drugs. 13

> Q. You made a comment or some comments about some of the -- tell me if I'm paraphrasing you wrong here -- but there might be some roadblocks that would come between a state

18 pharmacy director and wanted to comply with what

19 HFCA wanted to do. Is that fair to say? 20 A. Well, no, ultimately, in Tennessee, for

21 example, at that time, and really pretty much

still today, two-thirds of the bill's paid by the 22

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

14

15

16

17

March 12, 2008

# Nashville, TN

Page 106 Page 108 compendia were matching the actual market prices Then I took that information, and never 1 as they lowered on generic drugs? 2 2 going to take at one source completely at face 3 A. For multi-source drugs? value, then I would call three or four -- I used 4 4 independent pharmacists in different parts of the Q. Yes. 5 5 A. I had no knowledge, and I didn't care state, and I called. And I said, I understand, because if it was up to me, I think, as my job, and I wouldn't mention that particular 7 to find out what the net cost was to the 7 distributor. They never knew where I got my 8 numbers. The pharmacists never knew where I got 8 pharmacist. 9 9 Two things, availability, statewide, in my numbers. But I would say, I'm thinking, I 10 Tennessee, of the generic, and, secondly, what 10 believe that you can get this new generic, or are they paying? I have to know that in order to 11 whatever it is, for five dollars a hundred, and 11 12 get back to what we were talking about earlier, 12 I'm going to set the MAC at 7.50 a hundred. Does 13 providing the proper incentive to dispense that give you any heartburn? And that's the way 13 14 generic for the pharmacist to do whatever 14 I did business. 15 15 intervention was necessary with either the These, I trusted these people, 16 patient or the physician, or both, to get the 16 obviously. But there are three different sources 17 generic substitution accomplished. 17 there who are on the front lines in a pharmacy 18 Q. Now where would you get the information 18 who are running a business, who they have a 19 that you would use in the MAC program regarding 19 personal stake in. That's why I went to 20 what pharmacists were -- pharmacies were actually 20 independents. And then the distributor, who is 21 paying for drugs? 21 selling. And who over the course of that interaction I never found them to be anything but 22 A. My, my system was, was not very Page 107 Page 109 sophisticated or very scientific, but nonetheless 1 honest. 2 2 believe it to have been very effective. So -- and you can, you can quickly tell 3 What I did was, I knew I had a contact 3 if you have got something set too low, the phone 4 within the largest generic distributor in our 4 will ring. area, and one of the most -- one of the more 5 So that -- and then I just -- I built 6 popular. Again during this time that I, that I 6 in a little, 30 percent or whatever, profit to a generic MAC. But I would immediately MAC -- AWP 7 was setting MAC prices, rather than MCOs or PBMs, 7 8 was irrelevant. For generic drugs. 8 the, the best deal on generic weren't coming 9 Q. And did you have a practice for doing, 9 from, from big wholesalers. They were coming from generic distributors. 10 for doing this process for all generic drugs? 10 11 So I had contacts within this one 11 12 12 particular company who would tell me, who would Q. And you did this all by yourself. 13 first of all keep me apprized any time they, they 13 A. Yes. were able to distribute new generic drugs, also 14 Q. One person? 14 give me information if, if there was some problem 15 A. Yes. 15 16 with an existing generic drug's availability, and 16 Q. And you had other duties as well, -also tell me and give -- send me catalogs that 17 17 A. Yes. they sent to the pharmacists and then tell me 18 Q. -- correct? 18 additionally what am I looking at for this drug 19 A. Yes. 20 X, Y, Z, what does a hundred of them cost a Q. And tell me a little bit about -pharmacy? I didn't look at Red Book or Blue Book 21 A. Of course, you know, I, when I went to 22 work there in '89 we already had a MAC program. or First Data; I called the people that sell it.

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

March 12, 2008

Page 112

### Nashville, TN

Page 110

- Tennessee was I still believe the first state to
- have a MAC, long before the Feds put in the
- federal limit program. And so it wasn't like I
- had to suddenly do a thousand drug MACs and do
- all those -- gather all that data and do those
- calculations. It's as they come out. You stay 6
- 7 on top of it.
- 8 O. Um-hum.
- 9 A. Okay? There aren't, you know, a
- 10 hundred different generics coming out each month
- or something. 11
- 12 Q. And did you have a system that you used
- 13 to, for lack of a better word, crosswalk various
- generic products from different manufacturers to 14
- the same number --15
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- or something like that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How did you do that?
- 2.0 A. There were two, during my career there
- were two, (coughs) excuse me, different time
- frames where prior to OBRA -- the full

Page 111

- implementation of OBRA '90 from a claims
- 2 processing standpoint for electronic claims
- 3 processing. We were still accepting paper back
- 4 in '89-90. And at that point in time -- and
- again we had a very restricted formulary. We had
- 6 a five-digit code for every drug, be it brand
- 7 name or generic. There was -- you know, if there
- were 15 different manufacturers of penicillin, 8
- 9 250 milligrams, they all had the same code. I
- didn't care which manufacturer the pharmacy used, 10
- per se. They bill me penicillin 250 with one 11
- five-digit code. And I maintained those codes
- and maintained them in the fiscal agent's claims
- 14 processing system. I did all the updates to
- 15 that.

22

- 16 Then along came OBRA '90, on top of having the, the mandate of going to electronic 17
- claims processing, you're suddenly cognizant of 18
- 19 the fact that you have to collect for invoicing
- 20 purposes NDC level data to get the rebates you're
- due through the process. 21
  - So what we did then was switch -- I had

to, I had to go through and literally this was a

- 2 hands-on thing, too, crosswalk, build crosswalks
- between -- well, let me, let me go back. I don't
- 4 want to make this too convoluted.

5 We would get updates from I believe

- 6 Blue Book at that time, which later became First
- 7 DataBank, I think, through the claims processing
- 8 system, for all drugs, pricing information, AWPs.
- 9 For brand and generic. But we MACed all the
- 10 generic. So that was overridden into a different
- 11 column for the claims processing system that
- 12 recognized for this drug there is a MAC, and
- that's how it pays, all right? 13
- 14 So all the NDCs went into those codes,
- those five-digit codes. We had to totally swap 15
- 16 that in the system when you had to go to online
- 17 claims processing and develop invoices for the
- 18 rebates.
- 19 So had to take every one of those five-
- 2.0 digit, digit codes and made sure they were all to
- 21 the right NDC numbers, just totally flip-flopped
- the whole system. 22

Page 113

- 1 Q. Why did Tennessee go through all this 2 trouble?
- 3 A. Well, it was just you had -- I, I think
- 4 part of it was resistance to move to computers.
- 5 At that time, for example, when we first went to
- online claims processing, we had I want to say
- 7
- 3,000 pharmacies in the state that participated
- 8 in Medicaid, and FirstHealth, we made FirstHealth
- 9 buy like 250 laptops. And we just -- we
- delivered to drugstores that didn't even have 10
- 11 computers. They were processing just on
- 12 typewriter --

13

- Q. Um-hum.
- 14 A. -- and keeping old records.
- 15 But there was, there was some
- 16 resistance to move from, from a paper system even
- 17 in the -- in the -- those that had computers
- 18 didn't have the capability to be online. They
- had computers that would, once a month, print out 19
- 20 on a dot matrix printer on triplicate forms that
- we sent them all the Medicaid claims and mailed 21
- 22 them in to us, and there would be then data

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

March 12, 2008

# Nashville, TN

Page 114 Page 116 about brand name in your original question. entered into our system, and then paid 2 2 I keep the two totally separate. I eventually. 3 Just resistance to change, I guess. 3 have never reimbursed anybody for generic based 4 4 Q. Did you -- did you have any involvement on AWP. 5 when the MAC program was first started in Q. So would it be fair to say that you 6 6 Tennessee? believed you had another choice to set 7 A. It preceded me. 7 reimbursement rates for generic drugs? 8 8 Q. And do you have any insight as to the A. Oh, ves. 9 9 amount of labor involved to get the process Q. Apart from the compendia. 10 underway? 10 A. Yes. Yes. I'm sorry. 11 11 Q. You mentioned federal upper limits in A. It would have been significant, but not 12 anything like you started from square one today, one of your previous questions. I think we both 12 because, number one, there weren't that many know what that's, what that's all about. 13 14 drugs. Weren't that many multi-source drugs. 14 Did you become aware at any point And we had a very restrictive formulary. So even 15 15 during your work with Tennessee that CMS 16 if there was -- for a lot of drugs, even if there 16 apparently deliberately did not establish federal was a generic alternative, even the generic 17 upper limits for intravenous and injectable drug 17 18 wasn't covered. 18 products? 19 Q. When you were the director of pharmacy 19 MR. DRAYCOTT: Objection. services, Tennessee Medicaid, from '89 through 2.0 A. I wouldn't say that I ever knew that 2.0 2004, save the, the nine months, did you believe 21 they intentionally didn't do that, but I -- you that you had no choice but to use the AWPs and know, the -- I don't remember -- I don't remember Page 115 Page 117 the compendia to set payment rates for generic injectables being part of the FUL, but it could 2 drugs? 2 have been. I, I just don't remember that. 3 3 Again, that's another thing that, in MR. DRAYCOTT: Objection. 4 A. Had no choice. As -- well --4 Tennessee, and I'm sure this will vary again from 5 BY MR. TORBORG: state to state, in Tennessee we chose, for 6 6 example, in a physician's office, under certain Q. When you say you had no choice, what do 7 7 settings, or home health is probably a better 8 8 A. That was your question, I think, you example, certainly certain drugs and other 9 9 things, all of them, we wanted to run through the had -pharmacy program. For several reasons. The 10 Q. Did you believe that there was no other 10 practical alternative but to use what was in the reimbursement for drugs on like a HFCA 1500 or 11 11 12 compendia -whatever the -- would have happened from a home 13 health agency to a home health division within 13 MR. DRAYCOTT: Objection. TennCare to process, those folks had no clue that 14 BY MR. TORBORG: 14 Q. -- to reimburse generic drugs? 15 15 if -- what the difference between what was billed A. It was, it was the most expedient is and what should be paid should be. So typically 16 16 17 all I would say. And it was going when I got 17 a hundred percent of bills was paid. So we there, and I would say an industry standard that 18 didn't want, didn't want that situation. We 18 19 we, that we -- a wheel we couldn't reinvent. 19 wanted it to certainly be fair, but wanted most 20 20 Q. But you used a MAC program to reimburse of those things to come through the pharmacy 21 generic drugs; is that right? 21 program to control costs. 22 A. Yeah. Now I thought you were talking 22 So in the instance of IV solutions or

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

2

3

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

16

17

18

19

22

March 12, 2008

### Nashville, TN

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Page 150

concerns on whether or not the payment for these

kind of therapies was, was adequate?

A. Well, my opinion, particularly in the, in the home health arena, was -- and during this specific time period, the growth in Tennessee was such of those type of providers that it wouldn't -- that wouldn't -- not lead you to believe that the reimbursement for Medicaid was inadequate.

When people are hollering and screaming or you have trouble getting providers to take care of your patients is when that was more 12 likely a concern.

13 Q. Well, do you know when the home 14 infusion business really started taking off?

15 A. Well, it certainly took off in the early Nineties. And I can't remember -- and 16 17 Tennessee was a little bit different because we 18 very purposely avoided expansion of home 19 community based services under the Medicaid 20 program because the vast majority of the patients

21 who would receive those services were dual

eligibles, which meant they had Medicaid and

Page 152

they're talking about when they talk about a 2

compounding fee? 3

A. Yes.

Q. And what, what is that?

5 A. Well, certain, be it -- I mean you can compound IV drugs if you have the right equipment 6 7 and filters and hoods to keep it, make it a 8 sterile product.

And you can compound drugs for inhalation. If you have, again, the right equipment, similar to what would be in a hospital, to, to handle sterile products.

And you take the raw ingredient and mimic whatever, generally, the brand name or the innovator product was.

16 Q. And do you know in Tennessee, either 17 before TennCare or after TennCare was paying a 18 compounding fee for IV? Do you know if that was 19 something that was being paid?

20 A. Ah, no. But there's, there's ways to 21 pay it without, without having a separate -- you

22 know, I noticed on here that one form is for

Page 151

Medicare. And Medicare home health was, was

2 truly exploding. We had hundreds of providers in

3 Tennessee of home health services. I dare say

4 there's, you know, maybe 20 now. Because there

was, there was indeed a bonanza on the Medicare

6 side in Tennessee. Other states didn't face it

7 quite as -- if they had chosen to expand or had

8 very aggressive home community-based services

9 through Medicaid, might have had a little bit different policy issues. We purely shifted to 10

11 Medicare, cost shifted to Medicare, with the

12 duals. And so it wasn't maybe not as, as intense

13 on a Medicaid issue in Tennessee as it might be 14

elsewhere is what I'm saying. 15

Q. The page starting with -- at 425 and then going over to 426, there is a discussion of what some states are doing in the home IV reimbursement area, Minnesota indicates compounding or a dispensing fee of \$8 for IV drugs, and then Washington indicates that they're

20 21 paying a compounding amount, Ohio as well.

Do you have an understanding of what

Page 153

payment, one form is for reimbursement of 2 supplies, one form is for -- you know, they're,

3 they're making a variety to submit multiple

4 forms. And I wouldn't -- I can't tell you a

5 specific product or specific time period, but one

6 of my strategies was in issues like this, where 7 compounding was involved, I didn't want to go

8 down the road, at least in the early Nineties, of

9 getting into paying for compounded prescriptions,

because that can -- that could range from a 10

11 sterile product all the way down to an ointment, 12 okay?

13

14

15

16

17

And, and our claims reimbursement system hadn't evolved to the current NCPDP sophistication of today. So it was very hard to put in a, a set compounding fee for what, what products?

18 One may take a minute to make, one may 19 take an hour and a half.

20 So getting back to, to the MAC issue,

21 some, sometimes for certain products in this

22 arena, you would take that into account for the

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158 www.hendersonlegalservices.com

March 12, 2008

# Nashville, TN

Page 154 Page 156 MAC. addressed in this letter. I don't know. It 1 2 For example, I might say, I'm not seems to talk about different states, but I'm 3 paying for the tape that you use to hold the IV sure there were varying levels of complexity in needle into place. I'm not paying for the IV 4 the billing process, and what was and wasn't 4 5 billable and what was and wasn't included, but I needle or the tube set. I'm not going to -- I don't know it and I didn't discuss it with folks. don't want bills for that. I know you've got to 6 6 7 do it to administer this drug. So we're going to 7 Q. Have you heard the term cross-subsidy add on the cost of this drug X, because I know 8 or cross-subsidization in the context of pharmacy 8 9 9 this, this and this always goes with it, and I reimbursement? 10 know there is a fixed cost for that, but I don't 10 A. No, not -- no, I haven't. want five bills. I want 10 different places. 11 Q. I'm going to show you another, another 11 12 Bill me for the drug. And I'll make sure that 12 -- going to mark that as another exhibit. MR. TORBORG: I think this is 578. the -- whatever the MAC is incorporates all your 13 (Exhibit Abbott 578 marked.) 14 other costs. And you have to talk with providers 14 and know what that is. I mean, you know. 15 BY MR. TORBORG: 15 16 16 Q. So, in short, you would use the payment Q. For the record, what we have marked as 17 for the drug itself to cross-subsidize other 17 Exhibit 578 bears the Bates numbers HHC 002-0400 18 things that might need to be paid to fairly --18 through 407. It's another Medicaid pharmacy 19 A. And that would include compounding. 19 bulletin. This one dated January-February of 20 2.0 Q. And it may include nursing services 1988. 21 that were not included, things of that nature? 21 Mr. Sullivan, if I could ask you to go A. (Nodding yes.) 22 to Bates page ending in 402. In particular the 22 Page 155 Page 157 1 discussion on the first full paragraph about 1 Q. Did anyone in the federal government 2 Montana Medicaid. Do you see that? 2 ever tell you that you were not allowed to do 3 3 that? A. Yes. 4 A. No. 4 Q. Where it says, Similarly, Montana 5 Medicaid compensates for the additional time and Q. And if they had told you that, what 6 would you have said? 6 expense of dispensing compounded drugs by 7 7 A. That I wasn't allowed to pay for allowing the provider's usual and customary 8 charge up to 2.5 times the cost of ingredients, 8 compounding or --9 Q. That you weren't allowed to use the 9 paren, reimbursement for other outpatient drugs payment for the drug to cross-subsidize those is a lower of AWP minus 10 percent, or the cost 10 10 other services or supplies. 11 of the drug, end paren. Do you see that? 11 12 A. If they had told me I couldn't do it, 12 A. Yes. 13 what would I do? 13 Q. Is that the, the type of thing that Tennessee was doing? 14 O. Yes. 14 15 A. I would have had to have found another 15 A. It's a different approach to -- yeah. Make -- paying the provider for the, for the 16 way to, to handle the billing. 16 compounding without -- and setting a limit on 17 Q. But they never told you that. 17 18 A. No. 18 what I will pay up to two and a half percent. It's just a different, different twist. 19 Q. Do you know if other states were doing 19 -- were adopting similar type strategies to run 20 Q. Does it -- does this refresh your the programs? recollection about any other types of approaches 21 21 22 A. No, I don't -- I mean it may be like this that other states were using?

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

March 12, 2008

# Nashville, TN

Page 166 Page 168 exhibit. another customer. 2 (Exhibit Abbott 579 marked.) 2 A. That's right. 3 BY MR. TORBORG: 3 Q. And an organization called the national Q. For the record what we have marked as 4 association of trained drug stores took exception 4 5 Abbott Exhibit 579, bears the Bates numbers HHC 5 902-0657 through 65, excluding 61 and 62. 6 6 A. To say the least. 7 A. Hmm. 7 Q. And indicated that was not consistent 8 O. Mr. Sullivan, I take it from your 8 with the moratorium that had been put on changes reaction to the document that you're familiar 9 to drug reimbursement in the OBRA '90. 9 10 with at least some of the material contained 10 A. OBRA '90, yes. 11 within this? 11 Q. And then there was some communication 12 A. Well, it's just interesting that you 12 from the regional office of HFCA to your office, or Tennessee Medicaid. threw this out right after you asked the previous 13 13 question. That's why, what made me giggle. 14 14 A. (Nodding yes.) 15 Q. If you would take a look at that and Q. And then there is some correspondence 15 let me know if you're familiar with any of these that you may not have been aware of from the 16 16 regional office to HFCA headquarters. documents and then I'll ask you some questions 17 17 A. Um-hum. 18 about it. 18 19 A. Okay. 19 Q. Is that a fair recitation of what these 2.0 2.0 reflect? Q. I'll be starting with 660 and then 21 working my way sort of chronologically through 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And do you recall this, this issue? the document. Page 167 Page 169 A. Okay. 1 A. Yes. 1 2 Yeah. 2 Q. Okay. Tell me what you recall about 3 3 Yeah, yeah, this came from NACDS. this. 4 I don't remember seeing this. I 4 A. Um, we -- I thought, that the OBRA '90 5 remember the discussion. I don't remember seeing 5 was irrelevant, but because North Carolina had a 6 this document. most favored nation policy, and vigorously O. Which document is that? 7 enforced it, when I put out this bulletin saying 7 A. The second page. 8 we're going to do the same thing, NACDS was, was 8 Q. The second page? real upset and took the approach with HFCA that 9 9 A. This was... hmm. So yeah. Yeah, this this was something new, and it had -- and it 10 10 was another good idea that didn't work. 11 violated the OBRA '90 thing on some moratorium on 11 Q. Okay. Let me try to paraphrase what I changes to pharmacy reimbursement. 12 12 think was, what's reflected in these documents, 13 The -- we had some ongoing negotiations 13 at least in part. with NACDS, started out very contentious at first 14 14 and wound up pretty, pretty amiable. 15 A. Okay. 15 Q. And you can tell me if I'm wrong just 16 The, the best argument they had was our 16 reimbursement rate with Tennessee Medicaid was 17 to kind of speed things up. 17 It appears as though sometime in 1991, pretty low, was lower than most states, and that 18 18 December 1991, Tennessee -- your, your Medicaid 19 19 it approached the ground level anyway, of what 20 20 (c) issued a bulletin that indicated that usual they were getting that moment in time, from other 21 third-party payers. So the juice of enforcement 21 and customary charges should be the amount that really wasn't worth the squeeze of the benefit. is no greater than the lowest contract price to 22

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

March 12, 2008

### Nashville, TN

Page 170 Page 172 So we backed off and never did implement the 1 Um, some providers may think that these 2 policy is really, really the way it played out. 2 -- that the Medicaid patients are more difficult, 3 Q. Do you know why it was -- were you more time-consuming, more expensive patients to 4 deal with. And you don't want to let that get in involved in --4 5 5 the way of still delivering quality care and A. And then, and then when's, sometime after that, I wouldn't absolutely swear to it, 6 6 access to care. 7 but I believe then we -- that usual and customary 7 Q. Would it be fair to say, then, that you piece, either in a bulletin, I don't want -- or 8 think that Medicaid programs ought to pay more 8 maybe the state plan, something was thrown in 9 9 than what other third-party payers pay? 10 there to the cash-paying public or to the general 10 MR. DRAYCOTT: Objection. public, or something, some clarification of that. 11 A. I think they need to do, within 11 12 Q. Were you involved in this issue 12 budgetary limits, all they can to assure access to the best providers in the state. 13 yourself? 13 For example, if you look at orthopedic 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. So even though the letter comes from surgeons, or orthopedists, regardless of, of what 15 15 Manny Martens, -an MCO and TennCare may be willing to pay those 16 16 A. Yeah, I wrote it. 17 providers, they just, at one point in time just 17 said, We don't do TennCare. So you got a heck of 18 O. You're the one that wrote it? 18 19 A. Um-hum. 19 a problem there. Reimbursement level may have 2.0 Q. And what you were trying to do was to -20 been more than any other third party, they just - is it fair to say that what you were trying to 21 wouldn't participate. So you got to, you got to do was to make the usual and customary charge for 22 be careful about that. Page 171 Page 173 a pharmacy claim submitted by a provider --1 BY MR. TORBORG: 2 A. Well, it was just --2 Q. Did your department or the state 3 Q. -- mean something real? 3 generally ever prepare studies or commission A. It was -- no. It was, it was simpler 4 4 studies to compare provider acquisition costs to 5 5 AWP? than that. 6 It was the imposition of something 6 A. No. 7 7 similar to North Carolina, which is a most O. Whether it be --8 A. I don't think so. 8 favored nation clause. 9 9 If you're willing to accept AWP minus Q. Do you recall the organization called 20 plus a dollar from anybody, the State of Myers and Stauffer? Do any work with them? 10 10 Tennessee should get that same deal. That was 11 A. I might have. I don't know. 11 Q. Did you -- did Tennessee Medicaid 12 what I was after. It didn't work. 12 13 Q. Did you believe comparisons to what 13 either itself or have someone else do any studies 14 other third-party payers were paying for drugs is 14 on what it cost to dispense prescription drugs in a useful metric regarding what Medicaid programs 15 15 Tennessee? ought to pay for drugs? 16 16 A. Um, during, during my tenure, no. But I was aware -- I even had at least two that were 17 MR. DRAYCOTT: Objection. 17 A. No. 18 done prior to my employment with the state. My 18 predecessor had left, you know, in his files, and 19 BY MR. TORBORG: 19 20 20 Q. And why is that? I had reviewed them, done by UT College of

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

21

22

202-220-4158

access issue.

A. Because you do have to factor in the

21

22

Q. I wanted to ask you another question

Pharmacy in Memphis.

March 12, 2008

### Nashville, TN

Page 214 Page 216 1 A. Yes. just very, very recently in the news as well? First DataBank's role in determining AWP in a 2 Q. You have attended meetings where they settlement. McKesson chose not to settle and have been in attendance? 4 A. I'm sure I have. 4 First Databank --5 5 MR. DRAYCOTT: You are correct. There. Q. Have you had any conversations with Mr. Stevens at any point in time? 6 there, there is a, I believe, a class 6 7 certification occurred with respect to First 7 A. No. No. 8 O. How about Ms. Ruden? 8 DataBank. 9 9 THE WITNESS: McKesson is holding out. A. No. 10 MR. DRAYCOTT: There is also a 10 Q. How about Carolyn McElroy? A. No. settlement with respect to First DataBank. 11 11 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. That, that's the 12 Excuse me. end of this, isn't it? This is 2000? 13 13 Q. Look at the next paragraph. It states, 14 MR. DRAYCOTT: I'm going to let Mr. 14 Stated briefly, under impending change to current procedures, FDB will base the average wholesale 15 Torborg deal with your questions. 15 MR. TORBORG: Let me -price as it reports on market prices rather than 16 16 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. My, my -- the 17 the prices identified by manufacturers. Additionally, FTB will no longer report a price 18 reason for saying that is, I'm more familiar with 18 19 what's recently going on, and I think it's all 19 for a product unless its manufacturer has related, okay? 2.0 certified the completeness and accuracy of 20 21 BY MR. TORBORG: 21 pricing information submitted. Q. Well, let me bear, you know, bear down 22 Does this refresh your recollection at 22 Page 215 Page 217 the document a little bit -all about what -- this specific proposal? 1 2 2 A. Okay. A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. -- maybe I can refresh your 3 Q. And as refreshed, do you -- can you 4 recollection --4 tell me anything more about your recollection of 5 A. All right. 5 this initiative? 6 Q. -- about what this specific issue was 6 A. Well, I just -- I'm just not sure 7 in play here. 7 whatever happened between then and today that is 8 8 The letter refers to, in the second complying with that statement, whether it was or 9 9 wasn't. paragraph, a proposal that was discussed at the state pharmacy director's July 1999 national 10 10 I think, you know, everybody might be 11 conference. missing the boat if, if they want to consider AWP 11 12 A. Right. to be an accurate assessment of what people pay 13 Q. Apparently there was a presentation for drugs. 13 14 made by United States Attorney Reed Stevens, 14 Q. And --HHSOF OIG associate counsel, Mary Ruden, and the 15 15 A. This isn't going to fix it. Maryland MFCU director -- and MFCO is M-F-C-O --Q. And if you look further down the 16 16 C-U, Director Carolyn McElroy. 17 17 paragraph, the carryover paragraph on page 110, 18 Do you recall at all, Mr. Sullivan, the second page of the exhibit, the sentence is 18 that starts with More importantly. Do you see 19 that meeting? 19 20 20 A. I probably was there. that? Q. Do the names Reed Stevens, Mary Ruden 21 21 A. Yes. 22 and Carolyn McElroy ring a bell? 22 Q. It says, More importantly, in view of

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

March 12, 2008

# Nashville, TN

Page 218 Page 220 the Medicaid program's legal obligation to 1 that? 2 reimburse true provider acquisition costs, such A. Um-hum. an effort by the states to ensure payment is 3 Q. It states, If providers concede that based on actual prices, it is mandatory. Do you 4 reimbursement exceed acquisition costs but 5 maintain that the surplus is necessary to cover see that? ancillary costs of the drugs' administration, 6 6 A. Yeah, I see it. 7 Q. Do you recall a discussion at any 7 e.g., nursing or incidental supply expenses, meeting that state Medicaid programs have a legal their argument runs expressly counter to law. 8 8 9 Under Medicaid program requirements reimbursement 9 obligation? 10 A. No. No. 10 is dependent on the acquisition costs of the drugs, not the overhead costs involved in Q. Was that consistent with your 11 11 12 understanding of what was required by the state, 12 dispensing them. Tennessee? 13 Do you see that? 13 14 14 A. No. A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Okay. Do you agree with that Q. And what was your understanding of what statement? was required? 16 16 17 A. Well, I mean why -- if there was a 17 A. No. Q. Why not? 18 legal obligation to only reimburse true provider 18 19 acquisition costs, then why do we go through the 19 A. Well, I mean, in practicality, that's 20 not the way it's done, and, and I never, I never trouble of submitting state plans? You tell me 20 21 what reimbursement is going to be. was advised by my bosses or people from the regional office or people from central office of Q. What do you mean by that? 22 22 Page 219 Page 221 HFCA or CMS that that's the way things had to be 1 A. Well, why would -- if the federal 2 government is saying you are legally obliged to done. 3 pay no more than cost, then you tell me what cost 3 Q. And do you believe that reimbursement 4 is. Why do I bother submitting a state plan 4 was limited to the actual acquisition costs of amendment that says I'm going to apply the lesser 5 the drugs, that you would have an effective 6 of this, or AWP minus that, or this or that or 6 program that provided access to care to 7 7 beneficiaries? the other, that you approve if I'm legally obliged to paying cost. Obviously -- I mean you 8 8 A. It would severely compromise access to 9 don't know what cost is. You can't -- or else 9 care, in my opinion. Q. And would that be true across all fifty 10 you would dictate it. 10 11 Does that make sense? 11 states, in your opinion? A. There may be some rural versus urban 12 O. A little bit. 12 13 A. That's -- it's impossible to enforce, 13 mix that, that might skew that, but I would think and I don't ever remember anybody ever telling 14 14 15 me, Leo, you got a legal obligation to only pay 15 Q. The next, next page, the carryover true provider's cost. You do that and you won't paragraph, first sentence, states, No entity 16 16 charged with implementation or enforcement of 17 have a program. 17 18 18 Medicaid program rules can responsibly Only people that could do that is a 19 340B federally qualified health plan. 19 countenance a reimbursement system that violates 20 20 Q. If we go down to Paragraph 4 of this the statutory obligation to reimburse provider 21 letter, or I'm sorry, toward the bottom of the 21 acquisition costs. Did you -- do you agree with that, Mr. page where there is an indent 4. Do you see 22

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

0c585b6a-88d2-47d8-bc26-289a064ea87e

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158